Objective

The COTMAN insecticide termination rule for fruit-feeding insects was developed and validated in the Midwest for the Helicoverpa caterpillars (H. zea and H. armigera) and cotton boll weevil (Anthonomus grandis). The objective of this study was to compile results from insecticide termination trials in Arkansas conducted with tarnished plant bug (Lygus lineolaris).

Insecticide Termination

Monitor the crop to identify the last effective boll population (cutout). Physiological cutout is signaled when field average Nodules-Abone-White-Flower equals five. Accuracy of cutting dates (DD0) is obtained at cutout. Stop insecticide applications for bollworm, tomato bug and boll weevil infestations that occur after bolls have accumulated 350 heat units.

Review of Previous Research

Insect Control Termination – The question of when a cotton crop is safe from late season insect pests has been the focus of intense Cotton Incorporated sponsored crop monitoring research for over 25 years (Berhnart et al 1986, Bagwell et al 1992; Bourland et al 1992). These efforts have yielded a simple procedure to define the final stage of crop susceptibility for major boll feeding insect pests – the crop is no longer susceptible to new infestations, when thresholds become irrelevant, when additional insecticide applications are nonconsequential (Pedigo et al 1996). The COTMAN system allows the user to determine the flowering date of the last effective boll population and to define when those bolls have reached the final stage of susceptibility (Danforth and O'Leary 1998). Extensive small plot and on-farm research has shown that at 350 heat units after physiological cutout (NAWIF=5), bolls are safe from new infestations of bollworm, tomato bug, and boll weevil (O'Leary et al. 1996). Harris et al. 1997. 90% of COTMAN monitored bolls accumulated 350 DD60s after cutout as the point to terminate insecticides (i.e. insecticides to control future infestations of plant bugs would be unnecessary). In similar no-choice cage tests in Louisiana, Russell et al. (1999) evaluated retention of bolls after 72 heat units to 2 TP6 adults. They found that TP6 did not sufficiently pancreate the boll wall to result in boll abscission if the boll had accumulated > 350 DD60s. Field Trials with Western Plant Bug: Ellsworth and Barkley (2003) report choice and no-choice trials in commercial fields in western Colorado to population thresholds and pest tolerance to plant bug (Lygus hesperus) in Arizona cotton. They observed a yield penalty from terminating late season spray 2 weeks prior to cutout at NAWIF=7, but additional insecticide applications made 1, 2 and 3 weeks after cutout provided no yield benefit. Maximum yields and maximum profits were gained where insecticide applications for L. hesperus were continued up to 1 week prior to cutout.

Arkansas Termination Research

Small Plot Studies Augmenting Plant Bug Infestations at Cutout – Infestation timing was moved forward one week in the 2004 trial, and nymphs were released in drip irrigated cotton during the week of physiological cutout (Teague 2005). Infestations were made to four different age groups of boll rice plant (<1 bugs) and rice plant (>9 bugs). Yields from these treatments were compared to untreated and sprayed cotton. In this high yield area, we saw no differences in mean lint yield between untreated and sprayed treatments (1723 and 1721 lb lint, respectively); however, yields were significantly reduced in cotton infested with > 1 nymph/cm² (15% reduction, 1469 lb lint) and > 9 bug/cm² (23% reduction, 1391 lb lint). Infestation timing was similar in a 2005 study, but plant bug infestation levels were reduced to 1 and 3 nymphs. An additional factor, irrigation, was added. Plant bug treatments were made in either furrow irrigated (season long) or non-irrigated cotton. Plot yields ranged from a low of 1432 lb lint in the non-irrigated, 3 bug/treatment compared to 1742 lb in irrigated, sprayed treatments. Mean yield of irrigation main plots was 1700 lbs compared to 1530 for dryland yields. Yields were extremely variable across the experiment. Neither plant bug treatments nor irrigation effects showed statistically significant yield reductions, but mean yields tended to be lower in the non-irrigated 3 bug/treatment compared to untreated and sprayed treatments. These results indicate that the high yielding cotton remains susceptible to economic damage by tarnished plant bugs at the time of physiological cutout.

Timing final furrow irrigation and terminating insect control – The study was conducted at the UA Cotton Branch Experiment Station at Mariana, in the Central Delta region of the state. Natural plant bug population densities were above state recommended action thresholds in late season for both years of the study. The 2004 season was characterized by high population densities of plant bugs and dry conditions in late season. Mean yields ranged from 1012 to 1258 lb lint/acre. Terminating insecticide and irrigation prior to physiological cutout resulted in significant yield penalties compared to later termination dates (Teague and Danforth 2005). Extending insecticide sprays past 350 DD60s after physiological cutout, or irrigation beyond 350 DD60s to 580 DD60s after NAWIF=5 did not significantly improve yields. Late irrigations delayed boll opening. In the 2nd year, rains in late August confounded the irrigation treatments, and there were no significant irrigation effects (Teague et al 2006). Mean yields ranged from 1150 to 1450 lb lint/acre for all treatments. Plant bug numbers late season were at low moderate levels but did exceed state recommended action thresholds. Late season insecticide sprays extending outside of NAWIF=5 + 413 DD60s showed no significant yield benefits compared to early termination (NAWIF=7) treatments. There were no significant irrigation/insecticide interactions with yield in either year. Results from these Central Eastern Arkansas and previous other NE Arkansas trials (see Voinea et al. 2006) indicate that timing of final irrigation in furrow systems appears to be similar to that for terminating insect control – NAWIF=5 + 350 DD60s.

Conclusions

Results from Arkansas small plot research indicate that the high yielding cotton remains susceptible to high densities of tarnished plant bugs up to physiological cutout, and then becomes increasingly resistant. The insect control termination guide in COTMAN that has been in use for heliothine caterpillars and boll weevils (NAWIF=5 + 350 DD60s) is very conservative and more than sufficient for effective end-of-season plant bug management.
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