Improving Nitrogen Use Efficiency In Cotton: A Remote Sensor-Based Approach

Presented by Dr. Brenda S. Tubaña

Assistant Professor, LSU AgCenter

Introduction

Remote sensing is a precision agriculture technique for instant and non-destructive acquisit ion of crop spectral information. The integration of remote sensing technology in cotton prod uction has the potential to improve management decisions on rate and timing of application fo r irrigation, pesticide, plant growth regulator and nitrogen (N) fertilizer. Nitrogen fertilizer is one of the major agricultural inputs and considered as the largest expense in cotton productio n. With recent declines in acreage due to competition from grain crops, a refined fertilizer Nmanagement system that has the potential to increase N use efficiency is essential to maintain a productive and environment-friendly cotton industry in the Mid-South. Yield level and avai lable soil N are essential sources of information to project in-season N rate requirement of cot ton. This study was initiated in 2008 to develop an on-site decision tool to determine midseas on N rate requirement of cotton. This sensor-based approach requires two components: 1) cot ton lint yield potential equation, and 2) model for an in-season estimate of the likelihood of o btaining a response to sidedress N which will be used to project lint yield level and available s oil N, respectively.

Materials & Methods

In 2008, cotton trials were established at three sites in Louisiana representing areas where c otton is commonly grown (St. Joseph on a silt loam – NERS-sl and clay loam – NERS-cl soil, and in Winnsboro on a silt loam soil - MRRS-sl). The treatments included six N rates (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 lbs N ac-1) applied at planting, with and without plant growth regulator arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replicates. Normalized difference vegetation index (NDV) readings were collected using a GreenSeekerTM handheld sensor at different growth stages (early square, early bloom, two- and four weeks after early bloom). At harvest, lint was picked from the two middle rows. Grab samples were collected for % lint de termination.

Analysis of variance for the effects of N rate, plant growth regulator and their interaction on lint yield was conducted using PROC MIXED in SAS. Lint yield and N rate data were regres sed using non-linear regression (PROC NLIN in SAS) to obtain an estimate of optimal N rate for the three sites. Similar analysis was conducted using estimate of biomass (NDVI/number of days from planting to sensing) as the dependent variable for each of the growth stages. For each growth stage, the relationship between lint yield and NDVI readings was determined. In creases in lint yield attributed to N fertilization were determined by obtaining the ratio of lint yield from the highest N-fertilizer plot and the check plot (response index). The response index using NDVI (RINDVI) was also determined and then regressed with the response index using lint yield (RIHarvest).

Results and Discussion

Cotton Response to N Fertilizer and Optimal N Rates: Midseason vs. Harvest

The analysis of variance for lint yield showed that there was no interaction between PGR an d N rate across sites. This means that cotton lint yield response to N fertilization was consiste nt with or without PGR. Cotton responded to N fertilization in NERS-sl and MRRS-sl, and di d not in NERS-cl. These agreed with the observations obtained from the evaluation conducte d at midseason (two weeks after early bloom) using NDVI readings. The linear plateau model determined that lint yield was maximized at 101 and 90 lbs N ac-1 in MRRS-sl and NERS-sl, respectively. The NDVI readings collected two weeks after early bloom provided comparable e estimates of optimal N rate. The N rates were 92 and 85 lbs N ac-1 for MRRS-sl and NERS-sl, respectively.

Components of the On-Site Decision N Tool Using Remote Sensor

The NDVI readings collected two weeks after early bloom showed the best association wit h lint yield when compared with the rest of the growth stages. This initially suggests that the window for optimum sensing dates in terms of the number of days from planting to sensing w ould be 70-80 days. Prior to combining the data from different sites, the NDVI readings were divided by the number of days from planting to sensing (in-season estimate lint yield, INSE Y). The relationship between lint yield and INSEY was best described by an exponential mod el (Figure 1). The NDVI is a good index of biomass and the sensor can determine the biomass as it relates to lint yield regardless of the magnitude of cotton response to N fertilization. The initial results showed that the sensor-based predicted RI (RINDVI) can explain 40% of varia bility in the actual lint yield RI (RIHarvest) (Figure 2). The RIHarvest is determined at harves t which is after the fact. This becomes less of use for midseason N requirement determination since yield response to N fertilization differs from one year to another. An established relatio nship between RINDVI and RIHarvest implies that the lint yield response to N fertilization c an now be determined earlier in the season which is essential for determination of midseason N rate requirements. The linear equation (Figure 2) suggests that the actual increases in lint yi eld attributed to N fertilization can be estimated when RINDVI is known. With the current est imation procedure established for lint yield potential and cotton response to N fertilization us ing midseason NDVI readings, the functional equation that runs the proposed on-site N decis ion tool (Figure 3) is summarize as:

$$F_{n} = \frac{YP_{0}N_{g}}{\epsilon_{n}}(RI-1)$$

where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}} & = \mathsf{N} \text{ application rate, lb N ac}^{-1} \\ \mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{0}} & = \mathsf{Estimated in-season yield potential, lb ac}^{-1} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{g}} & = \mathsf{N} \text{ content in seed cotton, lb N ac}^{-1} \\ \epsilon_{\mathsf{n}} & = \mathsf{Expected N} \text{ use efficiency (range from 0.5 to 0.7)} \\ \mathsf{RI} & = \mathsf{Estimate of cotton response to N fertilization using NDVI} \end{array}$



Figure 1. Relationship between actual lint yield and in-season estimated yield potential (normalized difference vegetation index/number of days from planting to sensing). NDVI data were collected two weeks after early bloom.



Figure 2. Relationship of sensor-based estimated increased in lint yield and actual lint yield increase due to N fertilization.

Sensor Based N Rate Calculator, Cotton 2009				
DATA ENTRY	ALCONCERNS	2112.44	St Phese	
Max yield, Ibs/ac	3000		A STAN	1 251
Planting Date	10-May-09	12 K 45 4	No.	
Sensing Date	8-Jul-09	State of the state	TAX 1	The St.
NDVI, N Rich Strip	0.6585			L
NDVI, Farmer practice	0.5795	1 6 C	2020	AL AL
NUE expected	0.6	Star B	200 S. A.S.	
RESULTS		Crim	a ford	
Response Index	1.76	1. 1.4	A BOW	41.1
Days, planting to sensing	58	1 1 1 1		
Potential yield (0-N), lbs/ac	476	0 1 - 3	and a	1000
Potential yield (+ N), Ibs/ac	839	20		and the second
Fertilizer N, Ib N/ac	85	1337	AL AN	HALL SA
Note:				
NRS (Nitrogen Rich Strip) - plots receiving non limiting amount of N fertilizer applied at preplant				
FP (Farmer Practice)- plots receiving modest amount of N applied at preplant				
NDVI (normalized difference vegetative index)				
Max yield - average yield x 2				
PROCEDURE:				
1. Farmer is asked to Establish the Maximum Yield Achievable, For that Year (YPMAX)				
2. Sense the N Rich Strip (NRS)				
3. Sense a strip parallel to the NRS (Farmer Practice or FP)				
4. Determine how many days from planting to sensing				
5. Compute INSEY (NDVI/days from planting to sensing)				
6. Predict yield				
7. Predict seed cotton N uptake in FP				
8. Predict seed cotton N uptake in FP based on RI				
9. N rate = (seed cotton N uptake in FP based on RI - seed cotton N uptake in FP)/expected NUE				
Contributors: Brenda Tubana, Donald Boquet, and Ernie Clawson				
Figure 2. On site desision tool for eatton using remate server				

Figure 3. On-site decision tool for cotton using remote sensor.