Arkansas Research Series 577

Table 4. Yield and relate	ed proper	ties -	2009 Ma	ain Cot	tton Vari	iety Te	st acros	s four	Arkansa	is test	sites.								0						Eihar propo	tine				-
	Lint		Lint				Open	<u> </u>	Seed		Lint		Seed/		Fibers/	<u> </u>	Fiber	<u> </u>	Guality		Micropoiro	i e i	Lonoth		Hoer proper	i es :	Strongth		Elegantion	1.0
Variety	yield	r	frac.	r	Ht.	r	bolls	r	index	r	index	r	acre	r	seed	: r	density	r	score		Micronaire	+ +	Length		onii. index	+ + +	alter	+	Elongation %	+
	lb/a		%		cm		%		g		g		mil.		no.	-	no.				4.2	1.2	1.01		/6 05.1		griex	4.0	/0	4.0
DP 174 RF	1010	1	43.6	1	109	5	52	22	9.8	9	7.8	1	5.976	10	17704	2	301	3			4.3	13	1.21	4	85.1	12	29.2	19	11.0	10
ST 4288B2F	957	2	38.6	17	97	30	50	27	10.7	: 2	6.9	6	6.450	4	15500	: 16	241	28	00	8	4.0	3	1.19	0	83.9	1/	30.0	12	10.0	18
ST 5288B2F	910	3	39.9	10	105	16	52	23	9.0	29	6.1	19	6.864	1	13647	29	255	22	61	17	4.6	1	1.18	9	83.7	23	29.1	20	10.4	23
PHY 370 WR	881	4	40.	7	112	4	58	9	9.8	11	6.7	10	6.006	9	15766	14	270	15	53	26	4.5	2	1.14	: 26	84.0	14	30.3	8	10.8	17
DP 393 ck.	878	5	40.1	19	107	11	54	17	10.2	: 3	7.0	5	5.791	12	16307	11	268	16	69	5	4.3	12	1.18	8	84.7	5	30.8	17	11.1	13
DG 2570	868	6	41.6	2	08	10	58	10	9.6	15	7.0	4	5.731	14	16691	8	290	8	64	10	4.3	14	1.17	14	84.6	6	30.3	9	11.4	8
ST 5458 B2RF	856	7	38.7	15	06			29	10.0	6	6.5	13	6.025	8	15580	15	260	19	65	9	4.3	15	1.19	6	83.8	20	29.4	17	9.7	29
FM 1740 B2F	853	8	39.5	14	99	- 28		8	10.1	4	6.8	9	5,769	13	16947	6	282	10	61	16	4.1	23	1.17	13	84.3	8	29.4	18	10.3	24
PHY 375 WRF	18	9	41.0	6	109	8	55		- Q. /	700		7	5.660	16	17384	5	305	2	62	12	4.U	26	1.16	10	83.9	18	29.0	22	10.5	20
DP 0924 B2RF	36		39.6	12	108	9	56	14		25	6.2	18	8.18	6	15012	20	271	13	52	27	4.4	6	1.14	27	83.3	28	29.0	21	11.3	10
DP 0935 B2RF	833	4	40 6		109	171	50	27	9.7	12	6.8	1.8	7/3	15	15982	12	274	111	62	13	4.3	9	1.17	12	84.2	10	30.2	10	10.5	21
DP 141 B2RF	826	12	38.2		10	6	50.	26	92	26	5.8	2	6 68		13737	27	250	26	77	4	4.2	20	1.21	: 3	84.2	9 :	31.3	4	10.1	27
PHY 485 WRF	818	13	38.6			18		13	9.3	24	6.0	22	6 289	\$ A	110	12	252	24	68	6	4.3	9	1.17	11	84.7	3	31.6	2	11.8	5
AM NG 3331 B2RF	807	14	38.2	20	107			10	- 99	7	6.0	17	5 958	11		H A	200	27	55	25	4.5	3	1.14	25	83.7	22	30.0	13	10.5	19
ST 4498 B2BE	804	15	37.8	24	103	18	51		- Le		R	- 1	6.070	7	14076	154	J -		-80	18	4.2	21	1.15	22	84.0	13	31.5	3	12.2	13
PHY 315 RF	700	18	41.6	2	108	15	50			10		· •	5 122	20	17414	4	200	1		11	42	19	1.16	15	83.9	16	28.7	23	10.3	25
DP 161 B2RE	704	17	37.4	27	112	3	53	20		28	-			20	12644	30	235	20	79	3	43	17	1.22	2	84.3	7	30.2	11	9.8	28
AM 1550 B2RE	701	10	20.6	11	100	20	57	12	0.5	21	6.4	15		1	18920	7	200	5	50	28		95	1.13	28	83.4	27	27.9	26	11.2	12
DP 454 BG/RR ck.	786	10	41.5	4	110	1	52	23	0.0	30	6.5	12	5.642	G	171.5		235	1	59	20	37		16	16	84.7	4	29.6	15	10.2	26
CG 3035RE	796	20	41.3	5	103	20	54	19	10.0	5	7.2	2	5 004	20	175.2		002	R	57	24	4.3			24	83.7	25	29.5	16	11.7	6
EM 1845LLB2	788	1.24	26.2	1 20 1	101	1261	52	1 20	11.0		8.6	i a i	5.004	issi	14002	1 26	200	20		1.1	4.5	151		71-	Lain	1	31.7	111	9.3	30
CG 4020 B2RE	700	22	27.0	28	101	20	80	120	0.6	14	8.1	20	5.503	20	14082	20	200	30			4.2	22	1.19	1 7	- 04 0	46	27.7	20	11.1	15
AM NG 4270 B2RE	727	22	20.4	20	107	12	54	1.	0.0	20	e.n	20	5.532	20	14640	24	207	24		1 22	11	15	1.10	22	84	11	20.7	14	11.1	13
CG 2220 B2DE	722	20	20.5	112	107	13	50	10	0.7	120	0.0	20	5.157	20	16774	124	200	121	50	20		17	1.10	10	07.1	24	20.7	24	11.1	44
CG 3220 B2RP	747	24	38.0	10	101	10	58	15	8.7	13	0.0	10	5.157	21	15/74	10	213	12		20		<u></u>		18	03.7	10	20.7	$+\frac{24}{2}$ +	10.0	+
00 2520 P2PF, 0K.	702	20	38.0	1 10 ;	103	1 19 1		115	0.5	20	5.0	103	5.100	20	15055	10	200	123	50	10	4.4	1 24			03.0	24	31	27	11.5	1 7
DG 2400	702	20	3/./	25	102	21	03	1	8.5	10	5.9	24	5.404	17	19021	19	200	7	08	19	4.1	24	4	20		1	27.8	21	11.0	- 2
DG 2480	087	21	30.2	-30	102	22	00	0	8.5	18	5.0	29	9.009	17	10580	8	291	÷	41	30	3.7	30	1.12	30		30	27.7	28	12.3	2
CG 2020 P2PE	684	28	37.4	26	103	1/	62	2	9.4	23	5.8	26	5.434	24	15209	1/	2/0	14	61	15	3.9	27	1.18	10		E	28.0	25	11.4	9
CG SUZU BZRP	080	28	30.8	28	102	23	01	3	8.5	27	5.7	28	5,991	23	10394	10	287	25	44	28	3.1	28	1.12	28	83.3 -	-23		-30	12.0	4
556 Hu21001	030	30	38.1	1 22	100	21	40	30	9.1	: 27	5.8	27	5.032	28	13060	- 28	202	20	58	23	4.4	1 1	1.16	16	84.1	12	NUU	5	10.4	22
Mean	801		39.1	+	106	┽─┽	55	+	9.7	+ +	6.4	+	5.763	+	15578	+	270	+-	62		4.2	┾─┾	1.17	\rightarrow	84.1	\vdash	29.6	+-+	10.9	<u>+</u> -+
Var. LSD 0.10	62	<u> </u>	1.0		5	7-7	5	<u> </u>	0.3		0.3	T-1	0.452	<u> </u>	666		15	Τ-	8		0.2	-ii-	0.02		0.7	\neg	0.9	†-†	0.4	†
Loc. LSD 0.10	22		0.4		2		2	1	0.1		0.1		0.158		243		5		ns		0.1		0.01		ns		0.3		0.1	
C.V.%	12.8	1	3.2	1 1	7.9	1	13.0	i i	4.1	1	5.8	1	12.9	1	5.2	1	6.5	1	16.5		5.3		2.0		1.1		3.5		4.1	
R-sq x 100	69.0		85.8	1	83.0	11	81.8	1	85.3		89.4	1	71.5		89.7		86.8	1	74.2		90.8	┝─┝	84.2		63.8	+	82.3	+-+	88.9	+
Prob (var x loc)	<.0001	†	0.120	<u> </u>	0.035	1-1	0.144	·†	<.0001		<.001	1-1	<.001	1-1	0.001	;;	0.033	Ť-	0.083	·	0.005	-++	0.001	+	0.649	†÷	0.811	·+	0.008	·+

Fred Bourland, University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture

Variety Test Publications



Numbers! Numbers! Numbers! Interpreting Data on Cotton Varieties

State	2009 No. of locations	2009 No. of entries	Means per parameter (w/o across loc means)
Missouri	3	Main = 36 or 44	124
Tennessee	6	Main = 45	270
Arkansas	4 4	Main = 31 $New = 19$	124 76
Mississippi – Delta	5 2	Main = 31 $New = 18$	155 36
Mississippi - Hill	4 2	Main = 31 $New = 18$	124 36
Louisiana	6 6	Early = 21 or 25 Mid = 16 or 20	142 112
Total	32 diff. loc.		1199
	UfA	UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE Agriculture Experiment Station	

Numbers! Numbers! Numbers! Interpreting Data on Cotton Varieties

Number of Parameters: Arkansas Cotton Variety Test

Loc	Rep	Parameter	Observations per entry	Means per entry
4	4	4 (LY , HT, OB, SPA)	64	16 +1
4	2	5 (L% , SI, LI, FPS, Fden)	40	20 + 1
4	2	6 (QS, Mic, Len, UI, Str, Elo)	48	24 + 1
1	4	3 (Lpub, Spub, Btri)	12	3
1	12	1 (TPB)	12	1
	Total	19	176	67



Numbers! Numbers! Numbers! Interpreting Data on Cotton Varieties

- 3 zones (Eastern, Central, Western)
- ~ 30 locations per zone
- ~ 40 entries per location
- = ~3,600 means for each parameter

6 parameters * 3,600 = 21,600 means! Plus: Means across locations Additional parameters Usually 4 replications



NIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS

Yield and Yield Component Parameters

Parameter	No. of tests	Sign. (0.10) Variety effect	Sign. (0.10) Loc. effect	Sign. (0.10) Var. x Loc.
Lint yield	16	100%	100%	100%
Seed per acre	16	100%	100%	100%
Lint %	16	100%	100%	63%
Seed index	16	100%	94%	56%
Lint index	16	100%	94%	50%
Fibers per seed	16	100%	94%	56%
Fiber density	6	100%	83%	50%

Arkansas Cotton Variety Test, 2003-2009



Maturity and Fiber Parameters

Arkansas Cotton Variety Test, 2003-2009

Parameter	No. of tests	Sign. (0.10) Variety effect	Sign. (0.10) Loc. effect	Sign. (0.10) Var. x Loc.
Open bolls %	16	100%	94%	82%
Plant height	16	100%	100%	44%
Q-score	6	100%	33%	50%
Micronaire	16	100%	94%	56%
Length	16	100%	94%	38%
Unif. Index	16	100%	81%	6%
Strength	16	100%	81%	19%
Elongation	16	100%	81%	38%



Variety x Location Interactions

- 1. Soil type
 - ✓ Texture
 - Surface/internal drainage; fertility
- 2. Diseases
 - ✓ Verticillium wilt
 - ✓ Root-knot nematode
 - Reniform nematodes and other diseases
- 3. Insects
 - Different technologies (various Bt's & non-Bt)
 - Insect infestations
 - Varietal responses to insect pests
- 4. Maturity
 - ✓ Latitude of test site
 - Variety differences in fruiting
 - Stand/emergence consistency (different seed sources)
- 5. Management of test
 - ✓ Irrigated or non-irrigated
 - Timing of planting, irrigation, other inputs, defoliation
 - Control of maturity (plant growth regulators)



Evaluation of Lint Yields

Specific location adaptation:

Examine test sites with similar soil texture, disease incidence, latitude, and irrigation status.

- Use multiple years (often limited).
- May have few "similar" sites.

General (or wide) adaptation:

Examine as many sites and years within broad area that are available.

- Year-to-year variation \geq site-to-site variation.
- May include non-similar sites and years, but "cream-to-the-top".



Older Varieties Data Set - 3 years, 2007-09

(2 & 3 year data usually reported, these evaluated > 2 years, rank out of 20)

Variety	Lint yield, lb/a	Keiser	Judd Hill	Marianna	Rohwer
DP 174 RF	1175	4	9	2	1
PHY 370WR	1163	6	2	1	2
DG 2570	1126	5	4	4	9
PHY 315 RF	1124	8	1	10	4
PHY 375 WRF	1116	7	3	6	8
AM 1550 B2RF	1107	9	7	7	7
DP 454 BG/RR, ck.	1094	10	5	13	5
DP 393, ck.	1089	2	15	8	11
PHY 485 WRF	1076	14	6	16	6
ST 5458 B2RF	1069	11	16	15	3
CG 3035 RF	1061	1	13	9	19
CG 3220 B2RF	1061	12	10	5	16



UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS

DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE Agriculture Experiment Station

Components of Yield

Definitions

- Seed per acre = (Seedcotton yield * % seed)/seed index
- Seed index = seed size, weight of 100 seed.
- Lint index = weight of lint from 100 seed.
- Lint percentage = portion of lint in seedcotton.
- Fiber per seed = lint index / avg. weight of a fiber
- Fiber density = fibers per seed / seed size

Seed per acre most closely related to lint yield. All other components are less affected by environment.



Using Components of Yield

Most basic model: Yield = number of seed per acre * lint index

Advantages of "lint index" over "number of seed per acre":

- Gravimetric: ~1.6 lb of seed to produce 1 lb of lint.
- Energetic: 2.25x as much energy to produce oil (seed) than cellulose (fiber).

Increased reliance on "lint index" should enhance yield and yield stability.

Therefore, among group of varieties with highest yield choose ones with relative higher lint index.



Older Varieties Data Set: 1-year (2009)								
(Ranks out of 30)								
Variety	Lint yield	Open bolls	Lint %	Lint index	Seed per acre	Fibers per seed	Fiber density	
DP 174 RF	1	22	1	9	10	2	3	
PHY 370WR	4	9	8	10	9	14	15	
DG 2570	6	10	2	4	14	8	8	
PHY 315 RF	16	16	3	3	28	4	4	
PHY 375 WRF	9	16	6	7	16	5	2	
AM 1550 B2RF	18	12	11	15	18	7	5	
DP 454 BG/RR, ck	19	23	4	12	19	1	1	
DP 393, ck.	5	17	9	5	12	11	16	
PHY 485 WRF	13	13	18	22	5	26	24	
ST 5458 B2RF	7	29	15	13	8	15	19	
CG 3035 RF	20	18	5	2	30	3	6	
CG 3220 B2RF	24	6	13	14	27	13	12	



Fiber Quality Traits

Q-Score

Q-score – a fiber quality index of up to six HVI fiber quality parameters. Ranges from 0 to 100.

Current weights used: Fiber length = 50 Micronaire = 25 Length uniformity = 15 Strength = 10

Research now underway to determine optimum weightings in relation to yarn quality



Fiber quality – less trash

Less leaf pubescence on smooth-leaf & semi-smooth varieties

Density of marginal bract trichomes varies among hairy and smooth leaf varieties.







Older Varieties Data Set: 1-year (2009)

Variety	Lint yield, rank/30	Q-score, index	Leaf pub, rating	Bract tri. rank/30
DP 174 RF	1	80	3.4	32
PHY 370 WR	4	53	3.1	33
DG 2570	6	64	2.8	27
PHY 315 RF	16	63	3.1	33
PHY 375 WRF	9	62	3.2	31
AM 1550 B2RF	18	50	1.2	24
DP 454 BG/RR, ck	19	59	5.2	38
DP 393 ck.	5	69	2.1	33
PHY 485 WRF	13	68	4.1	43
ST 5458 B2RF	7	65	4.1	29
CG 3035 RF	20	57	1.1	24
CG 3220 B2RF	24	59	1.5	27









Use of Statistics

Lint yield across locations, Ark. Cotton Variety Test, 2009

Significance level	LSD
0.001	120
0.01	94
0.05	71
0.10	60
0.20	46
0.40	31
0.50	24

Two types of errors:

- Rejecting a true H₀ -Say that two means differ when they are actually equal.
- Accepting a false H₀ -Say that two means are equal when they actually differ.

Two types of mistakes:

- 1. Too slack ignore LSD & statistics
- 2. Too strict ignore trends.



Cotton Variety Test Results

- State Variety Tests:
 - http://www.cottoninc.com/AgriculturalResearch/ StateVarietyTrialData/
- COTVAR:
 - On-line: <u>http://cotvar.uaex.edu/intro.asp</u>
 - Summarizes data from all State Cotton Variety Tests
 - 3 zones (Eastern, Central, Western)
 - ~30 test locations in each zone per year
- Other variety test summary programs from companies.
 - Across company comparisons w/in company tests?
 - Accuracy of state variety test data sets?



Cotton Variety Selection (COTVAR) U	Iniversity of Arka	nsas Division of Ag	griculture CES - Mo	ozilla Firefox		. 🗗 🗙
Eile Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help	g ← Google					\sim
🔇 🔊 - C 🗙 🏠 🕓 🛃 📑 http:/	/cotvar.uaex.edu/intro.asp					☆ -
🙍 Most Visited p Getting Started 流 Latest Headlines						
🔝 State Variety Trail Data (OVT da 🖂 📋 Cotton Var	iety Selection (C 🔯	÷				-
T T CA UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS						~
UNA DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE				Cooperativ	e Extension Service	
Cooperative Extensio	n Service		Agric	eultural Experiment Statio	on	
				Search Publications J	obs Personnel Directory Links County Offices Departments	
About Us Find Us	Return to COTVAR Co View on-line guide to	tton Variety Selection Ma using COTVAR	ain Screen			
For the Media			COTVAR Cotton Variety Sele	ection		
Aquaculture & Fisheries Beef Corn Cotton Dairy Forage/Pasture Forestry Grain Sorghum Horses Horticulture Commercial Poultry Rice Soybean Specialty Agriculture Swine Wheat	COTVAR is comp tests conducted in Western Zone (AZ 2009 data. Some needs more work. COTVAR, varietie entries may be ev The different expe been evaluated (m years and experim state. Comparisor experiment. Devel cotton variety test	uter program that may be three zones: Eastern Zo , CA, NM, OK, TX). (We of these sites may have r We hope to have wester s can only be directly cor aluated at different sites a riments may be based up iain or new entry). Specif ients (within a state), and is of data for different var opment and maintenance data for each state may be	e used to summarize cotto one (AL, FL, GA, NC, SC, are working on western z not been harvested until E m zone ready to upload ir npared over sites within o and in different experimer oon maturity of varieties (ic varieties entered in an d among states (within a y ieties are only valid when e of COTVAR is made po be accessed here.	ton variety performance da VA); Central Zone (AR, LA cone data now. Several sta Dec or Jan - so 2009 data v n a week or so, even if data one zone at a time. Within tis (referred to as "tests" in early or mid-maturity) or uf experiment will typically dif year); and may differ amon the specific varieties are e issible by funding from Co t	ta from state cotton variety , MS, MO, TN); and tes have not yet posted will be late. Sitecode info still a are not complete.) Using each state variety test, some state variety tests). oon years that the entry has fer among zones, among g sites within a year and evaluated in the same tton Incorporated. Links to	
Wneat Links Newsletters Business & Communities Families & Consumers Health & Nutrition Done						~
Start 🕹 🙆 💽 🕲 Zimbra: Inbox (2)	Microsoft Word	10CropMan-Numb	10County-varietie	🕲 Cotton Variety Sel	< 52° 🍺 🛃 🗞	10:43 AM

State Variety Trail Data (<u>OVT data</u>	- Mozilla Firefox	
<u>File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help</u>	Google	<u>م</u>
🕢 🕞 - C 🗙 🏠 🕓 🖪 🖬 ht	p://www.cottoninc.com/AgriculturalResearch/StateVarietyTrialData/?S=A	* -
Most Visited P Getting Started Latest Headlines		
State Variety Trail Data (OVT d 🔅		+
Cotton Incorporate Cotton Incorporate Inco	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	
FABF	IC LIBRARY DID YOU KNOW? MEET COTTON CHARACTERS LOOK AT OUR ADS POST CARDS DOWNLOAD MUSIC	
	HOME TERMS & CONDITIONS PRIVACY POLICY UPDATE EMAIL PROFILE CAREERS	
Done	o zo to conton mouporateo. An nginis reserveo, Annenka s outron Producers and importers.	_
🐉 start 🛛 🕹 ể 💿 🔡 Zimbra: Inbox (2)	🔀 Microsoft Excel 🕼 Microsoft Word 🕹 State Variety Trail	🕸 🍺 🗊 🧐 🗞 45 🔰 8:51 AM