Current Status of Herbicide Resistance in Weeds
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Objectives

By the end of this lesson, you will:

Understand the need for managing herbicide resistance in weeds.

Know the current status of herbicide resistance in weeds.

Above: Seedling stage of kochia, a weed that is known to be resistant to several herbicides.

Image number 5361300 at www.invasive.org.
Introduction

Due to the extensive use of herbicides to control weeds, populations of weeds with resistance to one or more herbicides continue to increase within the USA. The entire agricultural community must make an effort to understand herbicide resistance, learn to identify it early, and implement management tactics to reduce the evolution of herbicide-resistant weeds.

Evolution is a term used by weed scientists to refer to the process of herbicide-resistant weeds becoming dominant in a field over time. This process is a result of a herbicide selecting individuals that occur naturally and are not controlled by the herbicide.

[Click to close.]
Why Care About Herbicide Resistance?

- Require changes in weed and crop management practices
- Increase the cost of weed management
- Reduce viable herbicide options
- Loss of yield potential and income

Current Status of Resistance

1. No herbicides with new mechanisms of action are in advanced development trials. The last new mechanism of action was introduced over 20 years ago; therefore, we will have to rely on currently available herbicides for the foreseeable future.

2. The number of weeds with herbicide resistance continues to increase within the United States and around the world.

3. The number of weeds with herbicide resistance to more than one herbicide continues to increase.
Current Status of Resistance

4. The number of acres infested with weeds that are resistant to one or more herbicides continues to increase.

5. Resistance to glyphosate, today’s most widely used herbicide, continues to increase.

6. Herbicide resistance changes how a herbicide is used by a farmer and the company. Resistance has not led to the total loss of any one herbicide.

Strategies that successfully delay and mitigate the evolution of herbicide-resistant weeds must be in place to preserve and sustain herbicides as resources in weed management.
Current Status of Resistance

The legend identifies herbicides by both mechanism of action and class. Mechanism of action (MOA) is the biochemical site within a plant with which a herbicide directly interacts. Herbicide class refers to herbicide chemistry.

Source: www.weedscience.org, Ian Heap, March 2011
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### Current Status of Resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herbicide Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Number of Resistant Species</th>
<th>Herbicide Group Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCCase-inhibitors (ex. Select herbicide)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS-inhibitors (ex. Classic herbicide)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosystem II-inhibitors (ex. atrazine)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycines (ex. glyphosate)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [www.weedscience.org](http://www.weedscience.org), Ian Heap, March 2011

Mechanism of action (MOA) is the biochemical site within a plant with which a herbicide directly interacts. Herbicides with different MOAs are identified by different group numbers. For example, 2,4-D belongs to group 4 and glyphosate belongs to group 9. Herbicides are assigned into groups based on a WSSA approved system of categorizing based on mechanism of action.

*Click to close.*
Chronological Increase in Weeds with Resistance to Multiple Mechanisms of Action

The number of weed species with resistance to more than one herbicide mechanism of action has increased drastically since 1990. Currently, 50 weed species with multiple forms of herbicide resistance have been confirmed.

**EXAMPLES WITH MORE THAN ONE FORM OF RESISTANCE**

- Giant ragweed
- Palmer amaranth
- Common ragweed
- Waterhemp

**Mechanism of action (MOA)** is the biochemical site within a plant with which a herbicide directly interacts. Herbicides with different MOAs are identified by different group numbers. For example, 2,4-D belongs to group 4 and glyphosate belongs to group 9. Herbicides are assigned into groups based on a WSSA approved system of categorizing based on mechanism of action.

Source: [www.weedscience.org](http://www.weedscience.org), Ian Heap, March 2011
Global Distribution of Herbicide Resistance

This figure shows the number of herbicide-resistant biotypes around the world. Biotypes are unique cases of herbicide-resistance in weeds separated by geography. Because of this definition, the number reported by biotype will always be greater than the number reported by weed species.

[Click to close.]
Conclusions

Herbicide-resistant weeds will require changes in weed and crop management tactics, increase the cost of weed management, reduce viable herbicide choices, and reduce yield potential and income.

Herbicide-resistant weeds are found throughout the world, but are concentrated mostly in agricultural areas that rely heavily on herbicides for weed control.

Proactive herbicide resistance management strategies must be implemented before new populations of herbicide-resistant weeds evolve.
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